

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/526,606	03/16/2000		Robert S. Mancini	3499-59	1911
27383	7590	04/29/2004		EXAM	IINER
CLIFFORD (CE US LLP		BASHORE	, ALAIN L
NEW YORK, NY 10166		166		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				3624	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
*	09/526,606	MANCINI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Alain L. Bashore	3624 My
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	<u>ebruary 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 40-44,46-49 and 51-55 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 40-44,46-49 and 51-55 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 45 and 50 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/526,606

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 41 there is recited a calculation of a "projected amount of sales", but no further recitation describes how this calculation is utilized other than as a transmission to a host computer. Claim 42 is rejected as being dependent on rejected claim 41.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

_Application/Control Number: 09/526,606

Art Unit: 3624

4. Claims 40, 43-44, 46, 48-49, 51-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesch et al (433) in view of Potter et al.

Boesch et al discloses a computer-implemented method, system, a computer executable code residing on a computer-readable medium, and a method of generating a computer data signal, all for providing risk management for online transactions on a computerized communications network (col 2, lines 62-67; col 3, lines 1-41). Executable software is stored on a server via the network. Digital data identifying a seller and descriptive of currency exchange price that relates to base currency is also disclosed. The transaction may additionally comprise aggregating transaction amounts where the size of the aggregate amount may be limited (col 8, lines 49-53).

Boesch et al does not inherently disclose:

a predetermined period of time during which currency exchange price will be adhered to for amounts exchanged as a result of one or more transactions; and,

digital data that includes date of transaction execution.

Potter et al discloses predetermined period of time during which currency exchange price will be adhered to (see abstract) and digital data including date of transaction execution (figs 15-23).

Art Unit: 3624

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Boesch et al to include digital data that includes date of transaction execution because Potter teaches imputs required for transaction to commence (col 3, lines 21-25).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Boesch et al to include a predetermined period of time during which currency exchange price will be adhered to for amounts exchanged as a result of one or more transactions because of what is taught by both Boesch et al and Potter et al. Boesch et at teaches a maximum predetermined period of time for the transaction (col 5, lines 10-15). And Potter et al teaches liability of state rates (see abstract).

3. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesch et al (433) in view of Potter et al as applied to claims 40 above and further in view of Garber.

Boesch et al (621)and Potter et al does not explicitly disclose a forward contract amount or spot price.

Garber discloses spot price considerations regarding foreign currency (col 2, lines 17-63).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a forward contract amount or spot price to Boesch et al (621) in view of Potter et al because Garber teaches risk in currency transactions (col 3, lines 19-37).

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

- 4. Claims 45 and 50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 41-42 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Allowable subject matter requires a second level review for applications in class 705 before a notice of allowance is mailed to the applicant.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2-4-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the reference to Potter, since Potter teaches that an offer must be recalculated after a certain time period because of market fluctuations, it would have

Application/Control Number: 09/526,606

Art Unit: 3624

been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art would utilize considerations to all aspects of an offer, including the sale of goods and services at a price desired.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore

Page 6